Case 1:21-cr-00202-GHW Document 66 Filed 11/01/21 Page 1 of 2

USDC SDNY
DOCUMENT
ELECTRONICALLY FILED
DOC #: \_\_\_\_
DATE FILED: 10/29/2021

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

.....X

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

:

1:21-cr-202-GHW

SEPEHR SARSHAR,

: <u>ORDER</u> :

GREGORY H. WOODS, United States District Judge:

As stated on the record during the conference held on October 29, 2021, Defendant's motion to exclude evidence, Dkt. No. 37, is denied. Defendant's motion to suppress evidence, Dkt. No. 43, is granted in part and denied in part. The Court grants Defendant's request for a *Franks* hearing in relation to the Email Warrant. The parties are directed to confer and to submit a joint letter proposing dates for that hearing. The Court reserves judgment on Defendant's argument that evidence from his email accounts should be suppressed because the Government failed to conduct a privilege review. At this time, the Government has not offered any evidence supporting its description of how it handled potentially privileged information. The Government is directed to supply the Court with an affidavit regarding the nature of the process used by the Government to protect Defendant's privileged material. The parties are directed to confer regarding that issue and inform the Court whether the parties believe supplemental briefing is necessary.

Defendant's motion to dismiss the indictment, Dkt. No. 40, is granted in part and denied in part. The parties are directed to submit a joint letter via ECF regarding the parties' proposed approach to remedy the threat of impermissible duplicity in the indictment no later than November 5, 2021. Defendant's motion for a bill of particulars, Dkt. No. 40, is granted in part and denied in

## Case 1:21-cr-00202-GHW Document 66 Filed 11/01/21 Page 2 of 2

part. The Government is directed to provide a bill of particulars detailing the trades with which the Government intends to seek to hold Defendant liable no later than November 29, 2021. Finally, Defendant's motion to strike surplusage, Dkt. No. 40, is denied without prejudice to renewal after the Government's presentation of evidence at trial.

The Clerk of Court is directed to terminate the motions pending at Dkt Nos. 37 and 40. SO ORDERED.

Dated: October 29, 2021

GREGORY H. WOODS United States District Judge